App F IX 4]

WD2239.B490.

1924.

File No. 283-24

Previous References. (Jaffna)

Serial Nos. 1–3

Later references.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for June 1925

SUBJECT

comments that Mahatma Swamy may be
recognised as Guru of Parameswaradevasam
in the Nallur Kandaswamy Kovil Bungalow.
Kovil

(2) Con 1681/4
Feb. 1925

To the Govt. Agent
" Comptroller
" Income Tax Offr.

Informed

[illegible]

Muz 1]

1924-25.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 28/-24

FILE HEADING.

Succession of Mahantswami as guru of Gurusanna Seranna Matha at Hanumanthapura, Bangalore Taluk.

From

The Muzrai Commr in Mysore.

No 4-1181 Dated 28-4-25. Received 30-4-25.

Diary No. 1701 | Enclos. one Spare Copies. | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that the succession of Mahantswami who was duly installed as guru of the Gurusanna Matha at Hanumanthapura, Bangalore Taluq, which is a Muzrai institution endowed with Inam lands on the 4th April 1924 by the Swami of the Gadadevara Math at Magadi may be recognised by Govt, suggests for the consideration of Govt that powers to recognise succession to minor institutions may be delegated to the Muzrai Commr as a matter of course thereafter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Vide 161 of the Muz: Manual

Govt 233-42/M.T. 02-92 dt 14 Dec 1892 prescribes rules for the succession of gurus to Maths. The 1st rule is that every succession to a matha requiring [illegible]

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—GTD—500—9-22

Serial No.

Grants in land or money or honours granted by the Sovereign should receive the approval of His Highness the Maharaja. The Sreevarama devaru matta now in point is an institution engaging the attention of Hanumanthapura village, Bangalore

S. No. 3 dry. 2.21 3-8-0 Jodi
" garden 0-34 2-8-0 6-0-0
" 6 dry. 4.27. 6-8-0
2-12 12-8-0

3 Mahanta Swami now selected for the Gurusthip of this small matta is said to be eligible for the place & his succession may be recognised

Permit for worship

Yes.

4 The Muzrai raises the general question that succession to such matts of minor importance may be left to be decided by them. This is according to the rules in force the prerogative of the Sovereign which in the case of such grants is retained,

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MW File No. 283 of 1924

Serial No.

is being exercised by the Highest Govt. No change in the rule seem called for but the suggestion of the Mun Com^r that ~~a~~ in view of the inconvenience pointed out by him a G.O. may be issued liberating the orders passed in the G.O referred to above. This will be done if appr. after orders issue regarding the succession recommended by the Mun Com^r in this case.

Draft order submitted
Secretary
Mun Com^r for approval
5/5/25

Rs 8/1-

Draft order
5 Draft copies
6 I believe that no decision is made by Govt Com^r regarding the question of the orders to be issued after the copies are
After issuing the Draft the General question regarding
Put up with papers
for orders

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Secretary

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Judl. File No. 283 of 19.24

Serial No.

8 In respect of such minor matters, the approval of His Highness the Maharaja is not being applied for in pursuance of the Government order in Memo 2dr 435-15 regarding the succession to the Adi Chunchuphi mat. Govt have been approving the succession in such cases. This reference is solicited to Muzrai file nos 115 & 11 and 10 & 22. Also in which orders have been issued recently.

9 May this Dept's approval be given?

21/5/25

10

21.5.25.

2 To the Mur: Cmr:, Cmpte Secretary's No. 1682-4/M7 283-24-2 dt 22/5/25 approving the succession of Mahantaswami to the office of Superintendent of Sri Thirumanthiswar, Bangalore 24.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.		

Serial No.

11) Orders were issued appointing the Successor to the matt in question after verifying the point referred to in the Members memo. As for the second question raised by the M.C. viz that of Successor to such minor matts may be left to him, Govt reference is solicited to Offl instr 85.5.25 para 4 supra. Even in respect of minor matters there is a great deal of agitation abt appointing a person especially if persons of diffl Castes and of different Sub Sects of a Caste are descriptively the matt. The present agitation on behalf of the Ganapathikal Vakkaligas may be mentd. As an instance in respect of the Succession of the Adi Chunchagiri matt in the Nagamangala Taluk which has been a Kapaliha matt for time immemorial. Govt decision whether if the question of selection of a suitable person to even minor matts is decided by the Govt instead of being left to the Mun Cmrs.

12) Draft reply to the M.C. Considered.

Sd
23/5/25

Sec Govt (13) Dy Secy

NOTE—Contn Sheet

674
28.5

	Serial No.
To the Mesop. Commn. No. 1759 dated 1-6-25. States that you do not consider it necessary to delegate the power to recognise Succession to Minor Chiefs to the Mesopotamian Commn	3

No. C 1181/M-3 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 24th April 1925.

From

C. S. Palasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

M. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.C.3/OC 1037/24-25 dated 1st April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, recommending that Mahantaswami may be recognized as Guru of Guruvannadevaru Matha at Hanumanthapur in the Bangalore Taluk, a Muzrai Institution, endowed with inam lands as detailed in the letter of the Deputy Commissioner.

In the circumstances explained I beg to support the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation and to solicit formal sanction of Government to the succession made.

2. In this connection I beg to state that there are a large number of minor mathas like the one under reference in Bangalore and other Taluks and the rules prescribed for the succession of Gurus to mathas are more honored in their breach than in their observance in recent times and consequently the affairs of these Institutions are being greatly mismanaged and their funds misapplied. I request therefore that orders passed in Government Order No.233-42/M.F.102-92 dated 14th December 1892 may be re-affirmed and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts requested to communicate the orders to all concerned.

3. As a measure of further decentralization, I beg to suggest for the consideration of Government that power to recognize succession to minor mathas may be delegated to the Muzrai Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.C.3-C.C.1037/24-25 dated 1st April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - -

"I have the honor to submit herewith the entire file of records received from the Assistant Commissioner in charge of Bangalore Taluk with his No.C.C.220 of 24-25 dated 17th instant, re: the recognition of Mahantaswami as Guru of Guruvannadevaru Matt, at Honumanthapur, in the Bangalore Taluk. The Amildar reports that the previous guru Nanjundaswami selected the present swamy then a minor and left him -- under the care of Bandemathada Thontadaswami at Kenchagal in the ---- Magadi Taluk, prior to his demise at Kenchagal about 4 years ago.

2. It is reported that Mahantaswami was duly installed as "Swami" recently on 7th August 1924 by the Swami of Jadedevaru Matt, at Magadi when several disciples of the matt were present. The Amildar says that he has seen the present swami, that he is eligible for the ---- guruship and that the people interested in the matt have no objection to the appointment of the present swami in succession. The matt is endowed with the marginally noted inam lands.

S.No.3 dry	2-31	3-8-0	
S.No." Garden	0-34	8-8-0	Jodi
" 8 dry	4-27	6-8-0	Rs.6-0-0
	8-12	18-8-0	

3. It is observed that the standing orders about obtaining previous sanction of Government to nomination of successors to religious matts have been ignored in the present case and it is explained by the Amildar that this usage is not being adhered to in this Taluk and that out of --- ignorance the parties interested failed to take needful timely action.

4. In these circumstances I request that the recognition of Government may be kindly obtained to the succession of Mahantaswami as the Swamigalu of Guruvannadevaru Matt at Hanumanthapur."

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT.... .. ..

....

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

Section Mug

File No. 283-24

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 22.5.25

Signed by..... Mrs T. R.

Read— Letter no. C.1181 of 24-2 dated the 28th April 1925 from the Mug: Com^r in Mysore submitting Copy of Letter no. C.3/OC.1037 of 24.25 & 1.4.25 rec^d from the Dy Com^r Bangalore Dt recommending that Mahanta Swami maybe recognised as Guru of Gowrivanna devara matha at Hanumanthapura in the Bangalore Taluk.

~~Order Thereon No~~

Order — No. 1682-4 Fin Dept

Approved.

Pers

11/7.5.25.

Rev Rec^r

To

The Mug Com^r in Mysore for inf^n. Revenue Secretary

" Comptroller Mysore

" Dewan Asst.

List of enclosures.

Copied by.

Examined by

WD 1868—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

App.F.VI G1]

SUBJECT

Section Mur

File No. 283-24

Diary No of letter replied to

Serial No.

No. 1759

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

To The Mug Comr

Sir

(para 3)

With reference to your
letter No. C-1187/M-25
& 28-4-25 suggesting
a measure of decentra-
lisation that power to
recognise succession to
minor Mattas may be
delegated to the Mugrai Comr,
I am directed to state that
it is a prerogative of the
Sovereign, and that Govt
do not consider that
the power can be delegated
to the Mugr Comr
as necessary to separate
by
28/5

Have &c

over

7.5.25

Rd Reader

Rd 5/5/25

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G. O. No. 1759/Muz. 283-24-3 dated Bangalore, 1st June 1925.

Succession to minor Matts.

No.1759/Muz.283-24-3.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer,Esq.,B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of your letter No.C.1181 of 1924-25 dated 28th April 1925 suggesting as a measure of decentralisation that power to recognise succession to minor Mathas may be delegated to the Muzrai Commissioner, I am directed to state that Government does not consider it necessary to delegate the power to the Muzrai Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. V. ANANTARAMAN,

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.